Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed a modified version of my Interview Article manuscript “Hope For the Future: Oklahoma State Professor Researching Ways to Treat Cystic Fibrosis Patients”. To address the concerns and comments raised by the 3 reviewers, I made the following changes to improve and clarify the manuscript. It is my hope that these changes make the manuscript acceptable for publication in Microreviews in Cell and Molecular Biology.

Sincerely,

Kolby McIntosh

Reviewer 1:

1. Briefly state if you found the comments of Reviewer 1 helpful or not.

           I did find the comments of Reviewer 1 helpful because they made me take a closer look at what I was writing and reminded me of what audience I was hoping to reach

2. What changes did you make to your manuscript as a result of the comments of Reviewer 1?

           Based off of Reviewer 1’s comments that some words may be over the level of the intended audience, I added some definition to words that may have been a too advanced for the general public.

Reviewer 2:

1. I did not find the comments of Reviewer 2 to be too helpful nor did I agree with them.

2. What changes did you make to your manuscript as a result of the comments of Reviewer 2?

           No changes were taken due to the comments of reviewer 2. I felt that to shorten the quoted sections of the article took away from the researchers voice. Professor Lutter takes a lot of pride in her research and I wanted to give her that chance to explain what she is doing.

Reviewer 3:

1. Briefly state if you found the comments of Reviewer 3 helpful or not.

           The comments of Reviewer 3 were actually the same as Reviewer 1

2. What changes did you make to your manuscript as a result of the comments of Reviewer 3?

           Due to the comments being the same as Reviewer 1, changes had already been made to the manuscript to fix this error.