# Mycorrhizae Effects Measured by Plant Growth and Plant Stress in Sorghum Bicolor and Sorghastrum Nutans Ellie J. Seaton, Scott R. Dobrinski, Randi L. Nelson Department of Plant Biology, Ecology, and Evolution, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK

		Intro	duct	ion	
<ul> <li>Plants and fung nutrient</li> </ul>	nd arbuscular r gus benefit. Pla s and water ab	nycorrhizae have nts feed the myc sorption in the p	a symb orrhiza lant.	biotic relationship in which both plan ie and the mycorrhizae help increase	nt 2
<ul> <li>Plant str of bioma how mu plant is u on a plant</li> </ul>	ess plays a role ass a plant proc ch stress a plar under, the mor nt will yield gre	e in both the prod duces. In this stud nt was under bas e chlorophyll a p eater chlorophyll	duction dy, chlo ed on t lant wil and les	of chlorophyll and the overall amou prophyll content was used to indicate he assumption that, the more stress I produce. Meaning that more stress is biomass.	in 3 5 5
<ul> <li>Syntheti than my mycorrh higher y</li> </ul>	cally produced corrhizae that izae has been i ields in plant gi	mycorrhizae or ' has developed in mproved throug rowth and nutrie	'comme the wi h years nt upta	ercial mycorrhizae" is more effective ld. The effectiveness of synthetic of experiments that have allowed ake putting the plant under less stres	ss.
<ul> <li>The grow comment growth of presence</li> </ul>	wth rate in the cial and wild m of the plants; w e of only the co	stems of the plan sycorrhizae becau when separated, gommercial rather	nts will use the growth than o	be highest in the presence of both mycorrhizae will provide assistance rate will be higher when in the nly the wild.	ir
<ul> <li>The present</li> <li>the expension</li> <li>with the</li> </ul>	sence of mycor ectation that th help of mycor	rhizae will lower e stress levels be rhizae.	the chl eing exe	orophyll content in the plants due to erted on the plants will be lowered	C
<ul> <li>48 pots under t</li> </ul>	s total of <i>Sorgh</i> the four differe	Me astrum Nutans (S nt categories sho	ethoc Sn) and own bel	<b>ds</b> <i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (Sb) were separate low.	ed
	6 Sb Live soil Inoculated 6 Sb Live soil Non inoculated 6 Sb Sterilized soil Inoculated 6 Sb	6 Sn Live soil Inoculated 6 Sn Live soil Non inoculated 6 Sn Sterilized soil Inoculated 6 Sn	• L • S • II • N	ive = Live Mycorrhizae Sterilized = No Live Mycorrhizae noculated = Commercial Mycorrhizae Non Inoculated = No Commercial Aycorrhizae	e
	Sterilized soil Non inoculated	Sterilized soil Non inoculated			

- Measurements of stem height (cm) and chlorophyll content were recorded weekly for three weeks using a ruler and SPAD meter.
- On the last week, we also extracted the plants from the pots and bagged them to further measure biomass.
- We performed ANOVA tests to compare groups using the software SPSS.
- We calculated growth rate using the following formula and dividing the outcome by N (number of total weeks):

Vpresent = 1<sup>st</sup> week stem height measurement  $PR = \frac{(V_{Present} - V_{Past})}{x100} \bullet$ Vpast = 3<sup>rd</sup> week stem height measurement  $V_{\it Past}$ 

### Literature Cited

**[1]** ABDEL-FATTAH, G.M., A.H. MOHAMEDIN. 2000. Interactions between a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (Glomus intraradices) and Streptomyces coelicolor and their effects on sorghum plants grown in soil amended with chitin of brawn scales. Biology and Fertility of Soils 32: 405-409. [2] ALLEN, M.F., W.K. SMITH, T.S. MOORE, M. CHRISTENSEN. 1981. Comparative Water Relations And Photosynthesis Of Mycorrhizal And Non-Mycorrhizal Bouteloua Gracilis H.b.k. Lag Ex Steud. New Phytologist 88: 683–693. [3] BARBANTI, L., A. SHER, G.D. GIROLAMO, E. CIRILLO, M. ANSAR. 2015. Growth and Physiological Response of Two Biomass Sorghum (Sorghum Bicolor (L.) Moench) Genotypes Bred for Different Environments, to Contrasting Levels of Soil Moisture. Italian Journal of Agronomy. Available at:http://www.agronomy.it/index.php/agro/article/view/673/783 [4] KINDSCHER, K., L. TIESZEN. 1998.

Floristic and Soil Organic Matter Changes after Five and Thirty-Five Years of Native Tallgrass Prairie Restoration. *Restoration Ecology* 6: 181-196. **[5]** NISSEN, S.J., T.M. STERLING, D. NAMUTH. n.d. Foliar Absorption and Phloem Translocation. *Plant and Soil Sciences eLibrary*. Available at: http://passel.unl.edu/pages/informationmodule.php?idinformationmodule=1056648673&topicorder=4&maxt

0=5 [6] PATERSON, A., J. BOWERS, R. BRUGGMANN, I. DUBCHAK, J. GRIMWOOD. 2009. The Sorghum bicolor genome and the diversification of grasses. *Nature* 457: 551-556. **[7]** PAVLOVIC, DANIJELA, B. NIKOLIC, S. DUROVIC, H. WAISI, A. ANDELKOVIC, D. MARISAVLJEVIC. 2014. Chlorophyll as a measure of plant health: Agroecological aspects, *Pestic. Phytomed/ (Belgrade), 29(1), 21-34.* [8] RESENDES, M.L., D.R. BRYLA, D.M. EISSENSTAT. 2008. Early events in the life of apple roots: variation in root growth rate is linked to mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal fungal colonization. *Plant and Soil* 313: 175–186.

# Graphs and Tables



Figure 1: Growth rate calculated over a time period of three weeks using mean stem height in centimeters for each treatment (LI, LN, SI, SN).



Figure 2: Mean stem height and range of stem heights in centimeters of each species (Sb, Sn) for each treatment (LI,LN,SI,SN).



Figure 3: Mean SPAD measurements and range of measurements of each species (Sb, Sn) for each treatment (LI,LN,SI,SN).

TRAIT	F STATISTIC	P-VALUE: OVERALL	P-VALUE: PLA SPECIES
STEM HEIGHT	3.360	0.007	0.408
SPAD MEASUREMENTS	2.690	0.023	0.003
TOTAL BIOMASS	4.026	0.002	0.007

Table 2: Calculated P-values and F-values for the overall measurements and specific interactions of each variable (stem height, SPAD measurements, above/below ground biomass). Pvalue of 0.05 or less constitutes a significant difference.



Species Sn; treatments from left to right are: LN, LI, SI, SN

TREATMENT	CALCULATED GROWTH RATE
LI	8.21%
LN	14.26%
SI	9.57%
SN	6.93%
Table 1.	Calculated numerical

growth rate for each treatment (LI,LN,SI,SN)





Error Bars: 95% Cl Figure 4: Mean total biomass measurements and range of measurement of each species (Sb, Sn) for each treatment (LI,LN,SI,SN).



Species Sb; treatments from left to right: LN, LI, SI, SN

- Growth rate (Figure 1 and Table 1)
- Stem Height (Figure 2 and Table 2)
- SPAD (Figure 3 and Table 2)
- Biomass (Figure 4 and Table 2)
- - each plant trait measured.

A special thanks to Dr. Janette Steets and the lab TA team for, personally, collecting and sterilizing (if necessary) the soil and providing instructions on how to plant, inoculate, and prepare for biomass collection, the botany department for providing all necessary resources to collect data, and Frankie Coburn for providing constant assistance and helpful criticism for improvements throughout the experiment set up, data collection, and analysis.

# Results

Similar growth rates were found between treatments (LI=8.21%, LN=14.26%, SI=9.57%, SN=6.93%)

LN had highest percent growth at 14.26%

A two-way ANOVA found significant difference overall (0.007) and between soil treatments (<0.001) yet not for plant species (0.408) nor interaction between soil and inoculum treatments (0.408)

Soil containing wild mycorrhizae produced smaller (on average) plants than the sterilized soil

A two-way ANOVA found significant difference overall (0.023) and between plant species (0.003) but not for soil treatment (0.428) nor interaction between soil and inoculum treatments (0.961)

Species Sn expressed higher chlorophyll content (on average) regardless of treatment

 A two-way ANOVA found significant difference overall (0.002), between plant species (0.007) and between soil treatment (<0.001) but not for the

interaction between soil and inoculum treatments (0.221)

• Species Sb expressed larger total biomass for every treatment and was largest in the sterilized soil treatments

Within and between group variance (Table 2)

F-values for each trait measured were all less than five; smallest was SPAD measurements (3.360) and largest was total biomass (4.026)

High degree of within group variance clearly visible in species Sb compared to Sn (Figures 2,3, and 4)

# Discussion

Our hypotheses concerned the relationship between increased growth and decreased stress when in the presence of both wild and commercial mycorrhizae; however the interactions between the soil and inoculum treatment produced insignificant results for

Across the board, our data collected did not support either of our hypotheses. For stem height, it actually resulted in being the opposite as we predicted; the SN treatment produced the tallest plants and LI produced the smallest; possibly due to the time constraint the experiment was under.

For stress level, there was no clear interpretation of the effect of mycorrhizae on SPAD measurements per treatment; however, we can slightly take away from Figure 3 that Sn had a higher amount of chlorophyll production than Sb. As a comparison for species, the prairie grass (Sn) seemed to be undergoing more stress [5] to grow at a similar rate to the agricultural species (Sb).

The biggest effect recorded stemmed from the type of soil used: sterile vs. live. There was a significant difference in two of the three traits measured, stem height and biomass, when focusing only on the p-value of soil treatment. For both traits, the sterile soil had the greatest positive effect maybe due to the possibility that harmful elements contaminated the natural soil, so when sterilized it was cleared of hindrances to growth. Further investigation can be to experiment with a variety of agricultural and prairie species to determine if there is a clear difference of effect from mycorrhizae on the specie types specifically; this can be done by manipulating mycorrhizae presence in multiple species of each agricultural and prairie type.

## Acknowledgements